UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,760	02/09/2004	Erik B. Christensen	MS1-1863US 8719	
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 06/11/2007 S PL I C	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYAN R	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/775,760	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164		

	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liènes with 27 OFD 44 27 months	Elland saldelm dessa manuall					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			334				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	·					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b)	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:		•					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12 Note the offeeded information Disclosure Statement(s)	(DTO/CD/00) Domer No/-)						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(F 10/30/00) Paper No(s)		C				
	•	Stpanna					
		Sathyanarayan Par Primary Examiner	nnala				

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended independent claims 1 and 11 and the scope of the claims changed. Therefore further search is needed for prior art.